

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT
for the

Western District of North Carolina

United States of America)	
v.)	
BASIL WASHINGTON GROSSETT)	Case No: <u>3:98CR311-3</u>
a/k/a(s): Tony Brown, Tong Kemp, Scarface)	USM No: <u>14998-058</u>
Date of Previous Judgment: <u>November 21, 2000</u>)	<u>Tanzania Cannon-Eckerle</u>
(Use Date of Last Amended Judgment if Applicable))	Defendant's Attorney

Order Regarding Motion for Sentence Reduction Pursuant to 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2)

Upon motion of ☒ the defendant ☐ the Director of the Bureau of Prisons ☐ the court under 18 U.S.C. § 3582(c)(2) for a reduction in the term of imprisonment imposed based on a guideline sentencing range that has subsequently been lowered and made retroactive by the United States Sentencing Commission pursuant to 28 U.S.C. § 994(u), and having considered such motion,

IT IS ORDERED that the motion is:

☒ DENIED. ☐ GRANTED and the defendant's previously imposed sentence of imprisonment (as reflected in the last judgment issued) of _____ months **is reduced to** _____.

I. COURT DETERMINATION OF GUIDELINE RANGE (Prior to Any Departures)

Previous Offense Level: <u>42</u>	Amended Offense Level: <u>42</u>
Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>	Criminal History Category: <u>VI</u>
Previous Guideline Range: <u>240</u> to <u>240</u> months	Amended Guideline Range: <u>240</u> to <u>240</u> months

II. SENTENCE RELATIVE TO AMENDED GUIDELINE RANGE

- ☐ The reduced sentence is within the amended guideline range.
- ☐ The previous term of imprisonment imposed was less than the guideline range applicable to the defendant at the time of sentencing as a result of a departure or Rule 35 reduction, and the reduced sentence is comparably less than the amended guideline range.
- ☒ Other (explain): No reduction. Pursuant to §2D1.1 Commentary, Application Note No. 10(D)(ii)(I), exceptions to the 2-level Reduction: the 2-level reduction provided in subdivision (I) **shall not apply in a case in which the offense involved 4.5 kg. or more**, or less than 250 mg. of cocaine base.....The defendant was held accountable for the equivalency of more than 101,000 kg. of marijuana.(**more than 5 kg. of cocaine base** and more than 1,000 kg. of marijuana).

III. ADDITIONAL COMMENTS

******The defendant also received a consecutive sentence in Count Four for a conviction of 18 U.S.C. § 924(c)(1).

Except as provided above, all provisions of the judgment dated November 21, 2000, shall remain in effect.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

Order Date: May 21, 2009

Effective Date: _____
(if different from order date)



Graham C. Mullen
United States District Judge

